The Crystal Structures of Some Metallo-Organic Chelate Compounds. I. The Ligand, 5-Chlorosalicylaldoxime

BY S. H. SIMONSEN, C. E. PFLUGER* AND CAROL MAY THOMPSON

Department of Chemistry, The University of Texas, Austin, Texas, U.S.A.

(Received 4 April 1960 and in revised form 10 May 1960)

The structure of 5-chlorosalicylaldoxime has been determined by a two-dimensional projection, and trial-and-error methods. The final parameters were evaluated by differential syntheses of the h0l data and by least-squares refinement of the hk0 data. The crystals are monoclinic,

 $a = 14.35, b = 3.90, c = 13.69 \text{ Å}; \beta = 100^{\circ} 00'.$

The space group is $P2_1/c$ with four molecules per unit cell. The molecules are planar except for the =N-OH group which lies below the plane of the benzene ring due to rotation of the oxime group around the single C-C bond. The molecules join in pairs through hydrogen bonds to form dimers, with two hydrogen bonds for each dimer.

Introduction

Despite the importance of metallo-organic chelate compounds in all areas of chemistry only a few crystal structures of the complex and of the ligand have been determined by X-ray methods. Because information concerning various factors (Merritt, 1953), influencing the formation, stability, and specificity of chelate compounds, can be gained only by the study of a number of complexes and ligands, this investigation of the structure of 5-chlorosalicylaldoxime was undertaken. Structure determinations of nickel(II) 5-chlorosalicylaldoximate, palladium(II) 5-chlorosalicylaldoximate, and palladium(II) salicylaldoximate are under way, so that the effect of metal ions on the ligand can be ascertained.

Experimental

5-Chlorosalicylaldoxime, $C_7H_6O_2NCl$, was prepared by chlorinating salicylaldehyde, and by treating the resulting 5-chlorosalicylaldehyde with hydroxylamine hydrochloride (Welcher, 1947). Well-formed, single crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of a saturated solution of the compound in carbon tetrachloride.

The unit-cell dimensions and space group (Simonsen & Pfluger, 1957) were determined from rotation, Weissenberg, and precession photographs, using copper $K\alpha$ radiation. The unit cell is monoclinic with

 $a = 14.35, b = 3.90, c = 13.69 \text{ Å}; \beta = 100^{\circ} 00'.$

Characteristic extinctions were noted which are those required by the space group $P2_1/c$. The unit cell contains four molecules suggesting, because of the assumed formula, that all atoms are in the general positions. The observed density (by flotation) was

* Present address. Department of Chemistry, The University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois, U.S.A.

A C 14 - 18

1.510 g.cm.⁻³, and the calculated density with four molecules per unit cell was 1.510 g.cm.⁻³.

The intensities were evaluated by a direct photometric measurement (Hoss, 1957) of multiple-film Weissenberg photographs. The h0l data were taken from two crystals, both needles having very nearly square cross sections of 0.154 mm. and 0.178 mm., respectively. For crystals of this size no absorption corrections were necessary. The two sets of data were corrected for extinction by the method of Pringle (1954) and averaged. The hk0 data were collected from one crystal. All intensities were corrected by the usual Lorentz and polarization factors, and relative $|F_o|$ values obtained.

Determination of atomic positions

The corrected h0l intensities were used to compute a Patterson projection. Because of the presence of the



Fig. 1. Fourier projection on (010). Contours at arbitrary intervals.

Table 1. Observed and calculated structure factors

			1000			1 777		77		. 77 .	77
hkl	$ F_o $	F_c	; hkl	$ F_{o} $	F'c	nki	$ \mathbf{r}_{0} $	r _c		IF o	r _c
100*		-12.66	008	14.44	14.80	12,0,2	7.08	4.90	<u>13</u> ,0,10	7.80	9.31
200	6.63	-7.89	108	10.19	10.60	13,0,2		0.28	14,0,10	7.05	7.36
300	21.96	-22.37	208	20.68	19.99	14,0,2	$23 \cdot 60$	24.53	1 10 10		0.00
400	31.92	- 30.34	308	8.86	6.37	15,0,2	5.47	5.61	1,0,12		0.99
500	45.12	-46.54	408	29.23	31.35	:			$\frac{2,0,12}{2}$	26.10	26.79
600	$25 \cdot 46$	-21.26	508		3.75	104	13.22	12.07	$\frac{3,0,12}{1,0,12}$	14.25	20.38
700	2.95	-2.27	608	8.28	7.58	$\overline{2}04$	$32 \cdot 56$	-32.56	4,0,12		3.32
800	$31 \cdot 17$	-29.81	1 708	10.57	- 9.91	304	86.07	89.53	$\frac{5}{2},0,12$		-0.02
900	4.40	6.44	808	18.71	-16.92	404	2.58	2.92	6,0,12	3.69	-6.67
10.0.0	3.70	$2 \cdot 12$. 908	7.15	-5.88	$\overline{5}04$	28.25	30.34	7,0,12	15.05	19-61
11.0.0	13.89	14.98	10.0.8	12.31	-10.67	604	26.98	27.65	8,0,12		-8.10
12.0.0	17.28	17.25	11.0.8	5.90	-4.03	$\overline{7}04$	10.98	-10.23	9,0,12	4.55	- 7.44
13,0,0	6.81	6.50	12.0.8	2.77	-3.12	804	$27 \cdot 10$	27.92	10,0,12	_	0.47
14.0.0	4.00	3.68	13.0.8	7.08	3.95	904	26.75	-27.13	11,0,12		-1.89
15.0.0	4.06	4.06	14.0.8	11.13	7.00	1004	9.53	-11.79	12,0,12	6.66	4.39
16,0,0	4.90	9.15	11,0,0	11 10	• 00	$\frac{10,0,1}{11,0,4}$	19.29	- 19-11	$1\overline{13},0,12$		2.59
15.0.0	9.75	- 2.10		00.07	40.50	$\frac{11}{12}04$	3.87	-6.32	14,0,12	8.45	8.41
17,0,0	a.19 - 00	2.94	0,0,10	39.25	43.72	12,0,4	7.67	6.94			
18,0,0	9.90	- 5.00	1,0,10	14.74	15.85	$\frac{13,0,4}{14,0,4}$	3.59	- 9.88	1.0.14	9.66	10.09
			2,0,10	9.42	9.88	$\frac{14,0,4}{15,0,4}$	5.52	- 2.00	$1 \overline{2}.0.14$		2.69
002	29.47	-29.34	3,0,10		-3.46	10,0,4	7.65	6.40	3.0.14	4.40	0.00
102	17.78	20.29	4,0,10	5.29	$2 \cdot 25$	10,0,4	0.00	0.49	4.0.14	4.42	7.33
202	$25 \cdot 46$	-26.91	5,0,10	22.79	-19.82	$\frac{17,0,4}{10,0,4}$	9.00	0.00	$\frac{1}{5}$ 0 14	7.74	9.01
302	23.61	-24.33	6,0,10	9.24	-8.42	18,0,4	3.92	3.93	6014		3.06
402	25.93	-21.20	7,0,10		0.55	· _			7 0 14		2.31
502	43.13	-46.20	8,0,10	14.15	-13.22	106	36.97	-39.31	8014	6.46	1.60
602		-2.97	9,0,10		-2.74	$\overline{2}06$	$2 \cdot 10$	5.04	1 0,0,14	7.01	9.08
702	10.80	-12.61	: 10,0,10		-0.92	$\overline{3}06$	35.32	-37.96	10,0,14	9.79	- 8-38
802	6.63	5.26	11,0,10	8.80	6.87	$\bar{4}06$	29.57	- 31.13	$\frac{10,0,14}{11,0,14}$	0.12	- 10.02
902	23.78	21.09	12,0,10	8.83	6.31	$\overline{5}06$	31.47	34.06	$\frac{11,0,14}{10,0,14}$		- 2.10
10.0.2	10.53	10.41	13,0,10	6.63	2.61	606	29.22	34.58	$\frac{12,0,14}{12,0,14}$	5.12	- 3.89
11.0.2	40.77	37.53				$\overline{7}06$	19.03	23.65	13,0,14	9.38	2.39
12.0.2	3.61	-1.16	0.0.19	15.10	14.07	806	18.03	22.33		- •0	- 10
13 0 2		- 2.09	1 0 12	17.15	-14.57	$\overline{9}06$	22.40	$22 \cdot 11$	$\frac{1}{1}, 0, 16$	7.19	-7.43
14 0 2	12.50	-10.15	1,0,12	10.57	0.20	10.0.6	26.88	-25.22	$\frac{2}{2},0,16$		- 0.51
15.0.2	4.90	- 2.04	2,0,12	10.07	- 8.00	1106		- 7:57	$\frac{3}{0.16}$	4.12	- 3.51
16,0,2	13.03	- 2 04	2,0,12	4.71	- 3.30	1206	4.00	- 5.54	4,0,16		0.55
17,0,2	1.98	- 3.55	4,0,12	7.00	- 7.04	12,0,0	3.67	- 5.82	1 5,0,16	9.01	7.56
17,0,2	4.70		5,0,12	7.69	- 1.30	10,0,0	7.36	- 7.47	6,0,16		3.64
			6,0,12	8.74	- 8.49	1508	7.00	-9.77	7,0,16	7.34	8.12
004	56.66	-59.02	7,0,12	3.83	-3.01	10,0,0	6.03	-2.11 -9.85	1		
104	3.84	1.28	8,0,12	4 ·07	3.21	10,0,0	0.09	- 2.80	. 010		0.40
204	59.67	-60.39	9,0,12	4.61	2.60				110	59.94	-61.93
304	21.61	-20.81	10,0,12	8.92	6.43	108	30.16	-30.86	210	3.90	- 4.67
404	41.86	-40.55				208	3.41	-4.81	310	23.05	-26.78
504	7.61	5.79	0,0,14	6.54	-7.42	<u>3</u> 08	27.41	-32.33	410	31.92	-33.48
604	35.48	35.81	1,0,14		-4.02	<u>4</u> 08		-8.38	510	25.89	26.92
704	21.36	20.47	2,0,14	9.61	-9.67	. <u>5</u> 08	5.70	-8.15	610	15.61	14.36
804	$32 \cdot 79$	31.91	3,0,14	6.33	- 7.81	608	14.78	-14.59	710	7.09	6.84
904	29.76	26.45	4.0.14	5.50	-5.03	708		2.57	810	12.06	10.25
10,0,4	14.55	-15.57	5.0.14		-0.06	808	6.84	9.46	910	9.22	9.23
11,0,4	21.32	-19.78	6.0.14		2.42	$\bar{9}08$	11.28	13.82	10.1.0	15.25	15.27
12,0,4	5.68	6.98	7.0.14	6.51	5.32	10,0,8	5.16	8.85	11.1.0	5.67	6.57
13,0,4	7.50	-6.39	8.0.14	5.46	6.20	11,0,8	38.62	37.97	12.1.0	13.48	11.47
14,0,4	8.15	-6.77	,			$1\bar{2},0,8$	10.64	-7.46	13.1.0	13.83	-13.22
15,0,4	3 ∙ 4 6	-3.23	0.0.16	3.06	-3.65	$1\bar{3},0,8$	11.61	-12.30	14.1.0	4.26	-3.83
16,0,4	3.54	-2.31	1 0 16	8.27	8.63	. 14,0,8	4.69	-6.17	15.1.0	6.74	-5.37
1 1			2016		- 0.51	15,0,8	4 .66	-5.98	16 1 0	3.19	- 1.84
008	95.05	97.97	2,0,10		2.64	$1\bar{6}.0.8$	4.58	-4.72	10,1,0	015	-101
100	20.00	-21.01	1 4 0 16	5.99	4.79				020	4.61	2.05
100	20.00	28.02	+,0,10	0.00	475	<u>.</u>		5.70	120	101	
200	00.00	- 33.19		98.00	90.69	: 9.0.10		4.98	990	9.51	- 3.20
300	10.01	04.90	·	20.09	- 29.02	2,0,10	2.00	- 4.00 _ 6.99	220	9.19	- 5.08
400	18.01	14.00	- <u>202</u>	04.00	90.72	, a,0,10 7 0 10	0.9% 10.9%		040 400	2113 15.05	111
006 002	17.83	14.86	302	94·92	97.02	• 4,0,10 E 0,10	19.30	- 21.20	420	10.70	- 10.44
606	21·96	18.52	402	10.49	10.32	3,0,10 Z 0,10	0.89	8.29	02U 200	11 50	8.13
706	9.43	7.45	502	24.49	20.69	6,0,10	10.90	-16.44	020	11.40	13.08
806	5.38	-6.80	602	12.45	10.69	$\frac{1}{2},0,10$	7.13	- 1.64	720	9.93	10.68
906	9.71	-6.16	702	62.93	- 65.03	8,0,10	4.27	2.20	820		- 1.39
10,0,6	8.90	10.46	802	25.28	-29.35	$\frac{9}{10}, 0, 10$	3.03	- 3.71	920	5.68	3.54
11,0,6	20.72	-18.78	-902	7.09	- 7.01	10,0,10	11.17	12.80	10,2,0	5.68	- 4.85
12,0,6	5.54	-5.30	10,0,2	6.09	-9.24	11,0,10	6.58	-0.94	11,2,0	3.55	2.76
13,0,6	12.25	-8.63	11,0,2	12.87	-11.99	12,0,10	3.38	$6 \cdot \overline{2} \overline{2}$	12,2,0	7.80	- 7.31

.

1	'a	bl	e	I	(cont.)
---	----	----	---	---	---	-------	---

hkl	$ F_o $	F_{c}	hkl	$ F_o $	F_{c}	hkl	$ F_o $	F_{c}	hkl	$ F_o $	F_{c}
13,2,0	7.45	-7.92	230	4.61	-6.04	930	2.48	3 ·29	340	2.84	4.43
14,2,0	5.67	6.24	330		-0.40	10,3,0	3.55	5.36	440	4.61	5.09
15,2,0		-1.03	430	7.80	-9.78				540	3.90	-1.80
16,2,0	· _	-0.88	530	5.32	6.15	040		-2.95	640		3.38
			630	_	-3.29	140	3.55	-5.96	740	-	2.26
030		0.40	730	2.48	3.61	240	3.55	-5.51	840	2.84	4 ·65
130	7.09	5.90	830	4.97	- 5.89 -				1		

heavy chlorine atom, the (010) projection showed directly the orientation and location of the molecule. Using x and z coordinates obtained from the Patterson projection, structure factors were calculated. The signs were applied to the observed structure factors and an electron-density projection was computed (Fig. 1). The x and z parameters were then refined by Booth's (1946) differential synthesis method.

The shortening of the benzene bond lengths in the (010) projection showed that the molecules were tilted out of the (010) plane. A trial structure was obtained by assuming planarity of the molecule; by estimating the angle of tilt from the projection; and from accepted bond lengths and angles. An (001) Patterson projection was then computed, despite the expectation of poor resolution, in the hope that a chlorinechlorine peak could be recognized, thus establishing the y parameter of the chlorine atom and making possible the estimation of all the y parameters. However, the Patterson projection could not be interpreted, so hk0 structure factors were calculated, using y parameters estimated from the trial structure. The molecule was then moved up the b axis in intervals of 0.05 y, and the calculated structure factors compared with the observed values. The best reliability factor, R, obtained by this procedure was 0.48. A number of least-squares cycles using the 'best' parameters failed to refine this trial structure appreciably. A trial was then made of a structure in which the axis of tilt was not parallel to the (010) plane. This structure improved rapidly upon least-squares refinement. Because of the small number of reflections available for the hk0 refinement, the x parameters, derived from refinement of the h0l data, were held constant during all cycles, and only the y parameters and individual isotropic temperature parameters allowed to vary. Individual isotropic temperature parameters of the form

$\exp\left[-B\left(\sin\theta/\lambda\right)^2\right]$

were estimated for the (h0l) structure factors during the differential synthesis refinement by adjusting the values so that after the final cycle, $\rho_o = \rho_c$. Final values of observed and calculated structure factors are given in Table 1, and the final values of the parameters in Table 2.

The atomic scattering factor for chlorine was taken from Thomas & Umeda (1957) and the factors for carbon, oxygen, and nitrogen were taken from Berghuis *et al.* (1955). The final refinements were

Table 2.	Final atomic	positional	and	temperature
	pa	ameters		

		-			
	x/a	y/b	z/c	B(h0l)	\boldsymbol{B} (hk0)
С,	0.3146	0.2002	0.3082	4.25	3.59
C,	0.2832	0.0482	0.3875	4.25	3.59
C,	0.1916	-0.0914	0.3813	4.25	4.78
C,	0.1289	-0.0412	0.2893	4.25	5.18
C5 -	0.1613	0.1026	0.2099	4 ·25	4 ·30
Č,	0.2515	0.2407	0.2167	4.25	4.11
Č,	0.4103	0.3421	0.3113	4.25	3.92
O_1	0.3428	-0.0015	0.4736	5.00	6.09
0,	0.5591	0.4344	0.3774	5.00	4.66
N	0.4713	0.3035	0.3863	5.00	4.06
Cl	0.0819	0.1232	0.0958	4.50	5.37

carried out with an IBM 650 using Shiono's (1957) differential synthesis program modified for twodimensional projections; and the least-squares LSH program of Senko (1957) as modified by Fitzwater (1958).

Accuracy

The final reliability factors $[R = \Sigma(|F_o| - |F_c|)/\Sigma|F_o|]$ were 0.144, including 36 unobserved reflections out of a possible 233, for the *h*0*l* zone; and 0.144, including 12 unobserved reflections out of a possible 72, for the *hk*0 zone. Omitting the unobserved reflections, R =0.113 and 0.110 for the *h*0*l* zone and *hk*0 zone, respectively. The standard deviations of the *x* and *z* parameters were estimated by Cruickshank's (1949) method; the standard deviations of the *y* parameters were obtained from the least-squares treatment. There was little difference among the atoms, and $\sigma(x) = \sigma(y) = \sigma(z)$. The average values are given in Table 3, and the standard deviations of the bond lengths in Table 4.

Table 3. Average standard deviations of atomic coordinates $\sigma(x) = \sigma(y) = \sigma(z)$

	σ		σ
C ₁₋₇	0.011 Å	N	0.010 Å
$O_{1,2}$	0.009	Cl	0.003

Discussion

The mean plane through the carbon, chlorine, and phenolic oxygen atoms was calculated by the least-squares method of Schomaker *et al.* (1959), and the equation of the plane is:

$$\begin{array}{c} - 4 \cdot 96919X + 3 \cdot 30053\,Y + 4 \cdot 63442Z - 0 \cdot 534698 = 0 \\ \lambda^{(1)} = 2 \cdot 30 \times 10^{-3}. \end{array}$$

The average deviation of these atoms from the plane is 0.018 Å, so that the molecule is essentially planar except for the nitrogen, which is -0.086 Å out of the plane, and the oxime oxygen, which is -0.130 Å out of the plane. The departure of the oxime group from the plane can be accomplished without distortion by rotation about the C₁-C₇ single bond.

Table	4.	Bond	lengths	and	angles
-------	----	------	---------	-----	--------

Bond	Length	σ	Atoms	Angle
$C_1 - C_2$	1·379 Å	0·017 Å	C ₇ -N-O ₂	113.9°
$C_2 - C_3$	1.412	0.012	$C_1 - C_7 - N$	120.0
$C_3 - C_4$	1.428	0.012	$C_2 - C_1 - C_7$	124.7
$C_4 - C_5$	1.375	0.016	$C_{1} - C_{2} - O_{1}$	120.4
$C_5 - C_6$	1.390	0.016	$C_{6} - C_{1} - C_{2}$	119.8
$C_6 - C_1$	1.421	0.016	$C_1 - C_2 - C_3$	123.1
$C_1 - C_7$	1.474	0.016	$C_2 - C_3 - C_4$	116.0
C ₇ -N	1.237	0.016	$C_{3} - C_{4} - C_{5}$	120.3
$\dot{N} - O_2$	1.385	0.013	$C_{4} - C_{5} - C_{6}$	123.0
$C_{2} - O_{1}$	1.345	0.012	$C_{5} - C_{6} - C_{1}$	117.4
C₅–CÎ	1.777	0.015	C ₄ -C ₅ -Cl	119.2



Fig. 2. Bond distances. The intramolecular bond distances and bond angles

are given in Table 4 and in Fig. 2. The bond lengths

are all normal and compare reasonably well with those reported in previous structure determinations, except that the C=N distance appears to be a little short. Some comparisons are made in Table 5. The average value of the benzene carbon-carbon distances is 1.401 Å, and the average angle is 119.9°.

The intermolecular distance of 2.828 Å for the $O_1-O'_2$ indicates that molecules join in pairs through hydrogen bonds to form dimers, with two hydrogen bonds for each dimer. The 3.420 Å Cl-Cl distance between two dimers is a normal van der Waals sum.

The authors are greatly indebted to the Robert A. Welch Foundation of Houston, Texas, for support of this investigation.

References

- BERGHUIS, J., HAANAPPEL, IJ. M., POTTERS, M., LOOP-STRA, B. O., MACGILLAVRY, C. H. & VEENENDAAL, A.L. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 478.
- BIERLEIN, T. K. & LINGAFELTER, E. C. (1951). Acta Cryst. 4, 450.
- BOOTH, A. D. (1946). Trans. Faraday Soc. 42, 444.
- COCHRAN, W. (1953). Acta Cryst. 6, 260.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1949). Acta Cryst. 2, 65.
- FITZWATER, D. R. (1958). Private communication.
- Hoss, P.-A. (1957). M. A. Thesis. (The University of Texas.)
- JERSLEV, B. (1950). Nature, Lond. 166, 741.
- KITAIGORODSKIJ, A. I. & KABALKINA, S. S. (1951). Zhur. fiz. Khim. 25, 71.
- MERRITT, Jr., L. L. (1953). Anal. Chem. 25, 719.
- MERRITT, Jr., L. L. & LANTERMAN, E. (1952). Acta Cryst. 5, 811.
- PRINGLE, G. E. (1954). Acta Cryst. 7, 716.
- SCHOMAKER, V., WASER, J., MARSH, R. E. & BERGMAN, G. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 600.
- SENKO, M. E. (1957). Acta Cryst. 10, 385.
- SHIONO, R. (1957). Report No. 2. IBM Program for Differential Fourier Synthesis in X-ray Crystal Structure Analysis. University of Pittsburgh.
- SIM, G. A., ROBERTSON, J. M. & GOODWIN, T. H. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 157.
- SIMONSEN, S. H. & PFLUGER, C. E. (1957). Acta Cryst. 10, 473.
- THOMAS, L. H. & UMEDA, K. (1957). J. Chem. Phys. 26, 293.
- WELCHER, F. J. (1947). Organic Analytical Reagents, Vol. 111. New York: Van Nostrand.

Table 5. Comparison of bond lengths

		1 0	.,		
Compound	-C = N-	-N-O-	C_1-C_7	$C_2 - O_1$	C_5-Cl
5-Chlorosalicylaldoxime	1.237	1.385	1.474	1.345	1.777
Syn-p-chlorobenzaldoxime ¹	1.31	1.36	1.45		1.78
Dimethylglyoxime ²	1.27	1.38			
Acetoxime ³	1.29	1.36			
Salievlic acid ⁴			1.458	1.361	
Benzoic acid ⁵			1.48	_	
1:5 Dichloronaphthalene ⁶	· —				1.76
¹ Jerslev (195	0).	4 Co	chran (1953).		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

- ² Merritt & Lanterman (1952).
- ³ Bierlein & Lingafelter (1951).

⁵ Sim, Robertson & Goodwin (1955).

⁶ Kitaigorodskij & Kabalkina (1951).